Sensitivity of life-long diagnostic methods for geese nematodes
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Abstract

The basis of successful struggle and specific prevention of poultry worms is timely diagnosis, the final stage of which is the detection of the worms themselves, their eggs or larvae at various stages of development. Priority is given to the methods of lifelong laboratory diagnosis of helminthiasis, which are preferably recommended for use in all animal species, including poultry. The aim of this work was to determine the sensitivity of flotation methods of coproscopy for geese nematodes. Experimental determination of the efficiency of the well-known methods of flotation and their comparative evaluation of coproscopic diagnosis of heterocosis, capillary disease and trichostrongilosis of geese. The main indicator of the diagnostic effectiveness of the methods was the intensity of the invasion and the time spent on the flotation of the samples. The most effective methods for diagnosing geese geocosis are Kotelnikov-Hrenov (with ammonium nitrate) – at exposures of 20 min and Mallory (with saturated sugar solution) – at exposures of 10–15 min. The rates of invasion intensity were respectively 62.0 ± 4.39 and 59.0 ± 3.47 eggs/g. In the laboratory diagnosis of goose capillary disease, the most sensitive methods were Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory at exposures of 15–20 min, where infestation rates reached 34.0 ± 2.22 and 33.5 ± 2.64 eggs/g, respectively. For trichostrongilosis, the Kotelnikov-Hrenov method showed the highest sensitivity at the exposure of 20 min, the intensity of the invasion was 32.5 ± 3.23 eggs/g. The Mallory method proved to be less effective – at an exposure of 15 min poultry invasiveness was 23.5 ± 1.81 eggs/g. The least sensitive of this invasion was the Fulleborn method (with NaCl), where the intensity of the invasion gradually increased with exposure time. The rates of invasion intensity were respectively 28.0 ± 1.74, 30.0 ± 1.92, 31.0 ± 2.03, 32.0 ± 2.14 and 33.0 ± 2.25 eggs/g at exposures of 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 min, respectively. The basis for successful control and specific prevention of bird helminthiasis is timely diagnosis, the final stage of which is the detection of the helminths themselves, but also the determination of the type of parasite, which allows to detect helminths, as well as low and high degree of invasiveness of the organism. With this aim, quantitative methods of coproscopic examination are used, some of which are performed with the use of counting cameras (Pereckiené et al., 2007; Levecke et al., 2011). Taking into account the abovementioned, there is a need to determine the sensitivity of the well-known methods of flotation and their comparative evaluation of coproscopic diagnosis of heterocosis, capillary disease and trichostrongilosis of geese.
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The aim of this study was to determine the sensitivity of flotation methods of coprovoscopy for geese nematodes.

2. Materials and methods

The studies were conducted during 2019 in the laboratory of the Department of Parasitology and Ichthyopathology, Stepan Gzhytskyi National University of Veterinary Medicine and Biotechnologies Lviv.

To determine the sensitivity of well-known methods of coprovoscopic diagnostics of heterocosis, capillary disease, and trichostrongilosis, studies were conducted on sick geese belonging to the private farms in Lviv region that were disadvantaged by the invasion. The invasion intensity rate (II) was determined by quantitative method (Trach, 1992), and the number of helminth eggs per 1 g of litter (eggs/g). The following methods were compared: Fulleborne – with salt (Pankov, 1975); Kotelnikov-Hrenova – with ammonium nitrate (Kotelnikov, 1974); Mallory – with a saturated sugar solution (Akbaev al., 1998). Studies were performed at exposures of 10, 15, 20, and 25 min. In total, 720 coproscopic examinations were performed.

Statistical processing of experimental results was performed by determining the arithmetic mean (M), its error (m) and the probability level (P) using the Student's t-test table. P values < 0.05 (*) were considered significant.

3. Results and discussion

The results show that the mallori method for exposures of 10 and 15 min (Fig. 1) proved to be the most effective method of coprovoscopic diagnostics of geese.

Thus, the average invasion intensity was 18.13 ± 1.64 and 59.0 ± 3.47 eggs/g, respectively, by 5.46 – 32.10% (P <0.05) and 22.88 – 70.33% (P < 0.05) more than using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Fulleborn methods. At 20 min exposure, the highest rates of invasion intensity (62.0 ± 4.39 eggs/g) were detected using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov method. Other methods were less sensitive (by 23.39–45.97 %, P < 0.05) for geese gecosis. At the exposure of 25 min, the rates of infestation with the use of the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods gradually decrease, and with the use of the Fulleborn method – increase slightly to 37.5 ± 2.04 eggs/g.

In the case of geese capillaries, the most sensitive methods of coprovascopy were Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory (Fig. 2).

At 10 min exposure, the invasion intensity ranged from 10.76 ± 0.76 to 14.37 ± 1.28 eggs/g depending on the method of study. Moreover, the highest number of eggs (by 24.64–25.12 %, P < 0.05) was found when using methods where a saturated solution of sugar and ammonium nitrate was used as the flotation fluid. Maximum II value were detected at exposures of 15 min (up to 34.0 ± 2.22 and 33.5 ± 2.64 eggs/g) using the same methods, by 61.19–61.76 % (P < 0.05) is higher than when using the Fulleborn method. Subsequently, with the extension of the exposure to 20–25 min, the II decreased by using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods – to 19.5 ± 2.11 and 16.5 ± 2.08 eggs/g, respectively. At the same time, in a caproscopic study of geese by the Fulleborn method, the maximum number of capillary eggs was detected at the exposure of 20 min (18.5 ± 1.66 eggs/g), but this indicator was lower by 37.28–39.34 % (P < 0.05) than using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods.

In the laboratory diagnosis of trichostrongylosis of geese, indicators of the intensity of invasion depended on the method of study and exposure (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 1. Effectiveness of flotation methods for geese gecosis**
Thus, at the exposure of 10 min, the maximum number of trichostrongilus eggs was detected using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods (17.5 ± 1.75 and 16.5 ± 1.66 eggs/g), which is 36.36–40.0 %. (P < 0.05) higher than using the Fulleborn method (10.5 ± 0.5 eggs/g). Similar results were obtained for exposures of 15 and 20 min. According to the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods, respectively, 22.5 ± 2.16 and 23.5 ± 1.81 eggs/g and 32.5 ± 3.23 and 20.5 ± 1.84 eggs/g, respectively, were detected. At the same time, during these exposures, the Fulleborne method showed the lowest efficiency (by 7.31–41.53 %, P < 0.05) – the invasion intensity ranged from 14.5 ± 1.69 to 19.0 ± 2.39 eggs/g. At the exposure of 25 min with the application of the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods, the intensity of the invasion gradually decreased (up to 12.5 ± 0.99 eggs/g), and with the application of the Fulleborne method – increase (up to 19.5 ± 2.45 eggs/g).

According to several researchers, coproscopy methods have different diagnostic efficacy due to the different composition and specific gravity of the flotant, the settling period for which helmint eggs should float, and the specific gravity of parasite eggs themselves (Kotelnikov, 1974; Mendes et al., 2005; Dakhno & Dakhno, 2010). Therefore,
well-known flotation research methods for geese nematodes were tested.

According to the results of the studies, it was found that the most sensitive methods for the diagnosis of geese gastro- sis are the methods of Kotelnikov-Hrenov (at 20 min exposure) and Mallory (at 10–15 min exposures). For geese capillary disease, the most sensitive methods of life diagnosis were Kotelnikov-Hrenova and Mallory at exposures of 15–20 min. At the same time, the method of Kotelnikov-Hrenov (with exposure of 20 min) showed the highest sensitivity for trichostrongilosis. It was also found that with the prolongation of the settling time of the floatant. Similar data were obtained by individual authors, who noted that with the prolongation of exposure during the application of the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods, the intensity of the invasion gradually decreased, indicating an increase in the proportion of eggs, due to their saturation with a floatant, after which they began to gradually settle. With the use of the Fulleborn method, on the contrary, with the prolongation of the exposure during the application of the Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory methods, the intensity of the invasion increased, which was due to the gradual floating of the nematode eggs on the surface of the floatant. Similar data were obtained by individual authors, who noted that with the prolongation of the settling time of the studied coproposes prepared according to flotation methods, the number of nematode eggs, oyster oocysts and isopores isolated from pigs decreased on the surface of the floatant and increased in the sediment (Yevstafieva, 2007).

4. Conclusions

It has been experimentally established that flotation methods of Kotelnikov-Hrenov and Mallory coproscopic were the most sensitive in case of geese nematodes (heteracosis, capillary disease, trichostrongilosis). In the laboratory diagnosis of heteracosis and trichostrongylosis, the most effective is the exposure of samples 20 min - using the Kotelnikov-Hrenov method and 15 min – using the Mallory method. The highest diagnostic efficacy for geese trichostrongilosis is ensured by the use of these methods at exposures of 15 min.
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